

LINGUACULTURE AS A MAIN FACTOR TO LEARN LANGUAGES

Alev Çetiner

*Hacette University of Foreign Languages
Teacher of English language and literature*

Annotation: Linguaculture could be a term meaning that a language incorporates not as it were components such as language structure and lexicon, but moreover past information, nearby and social data, propensities and practices. Especially, while learning foreign languages, linguaculture plays an important role to comprehend deep meaning of the language.

Keywords: language, culture, linguaculture classroom.

For decades, due to the globalization in language instruction grows significantly, particularly in English educating, which has been inquired about in numerous ways. In the mean time, numerous of inquire about patterns influence English learners and addresses broadly since English has been labeled as a worldwide language. Customarily, syntactic and semantic learning have been fundamentally emphasized in English educating and learning. Be that as it may, highly-developed unused procedures have been actualized quickly in our standard of, living which encourages numerous dialects and societies to be imbued together, and shared or transmitted in several phonetic and social settings, which affects language learning and educating to be more like a worldwide linguaculture arrange. In this manner, we are encountering an affluent dialect and social arrange. Due to this reason, it is critical for both dialect teachers and learners to hone in many diverse phonetic and social foundations. Separated from language structure instructing in English, culture is additionally exceptionally imperative in English instructing as well. Linguaculture implies that a dialect incorporates components such as language structure and lexicon, past information, nearby and social data, propensities, and behaviors. This term alludes to one or more particular dialects, spread over social settings and talk communities, which covers dialect furthermore culture. The concept may be a theorization of the interface between language and the rest of culture. But the social see of dialect ought to too grasp the concept of a certain phonetic setting. Linguaculture considers different sorts of implications carried and created by dialect and dialect activities, and clarifies the changeability of linguaculture in talk. The American anthropologist Michael Agar made the term. Agar utilized the term "linguaculture" for the primary time in his book *Dialect Stun: Understanding the Culture of Conversation*. Linguaculture could be a gathered change on the word "linguaculture" coined by the American phonetic anthropologist Paul Friedrich.

Agar clarifies the alter by expressing that "language" could be a more commonly utilized word in English. "Lingua culture" appears to be getting to be more common.

When Agar talks almost linguaculture, he characterizes it as the necessary tie between dialect and culture. He underlines that dialects and societies are continuously closely related and it isn't conceivable to recognize dialects from societies. Hence, you cannot know a dialect in case you do not moreover know the culture communicated by that dialect. It can be used in classroom for teaching effectively, because within the linguaculture classroom, learners recognize that dialect and culture learning is more than a subject in school. The classroom gives a secure space to try with better approaches of considering and communicating. Hence, the apprehension we feel giving a introduction to our classmates may be seen as arrangement for the even more prominent stresses of utilizing that dialect out within the "real world". A linguaculture classroom centers on natural understanding—the more profound, more "instinctive" shape of information that comes from having more completely internalized the etymological and social designs we are testing with. A solid center on correct answers, or an excessively intellectualized approach to learning can get within the way of profound learning. Within the conclusion, a focus on linguaculture learning suggests engagement at numerous levels of the self, and empowers teachers to discover imaginative ways to attain this in their specific setting. Seeing language and

culture as an versatile prepare takes us past the thought of including culture to dialect instructional method. Without a doubt, it turns it on its head. Rather than centering on dialect learning as a all inclusive handle to which we include social learning goals, it assumes that cultural learning could be a widespread handle of which dialect learning is basically one portion. This speaks to a wide idea of social learning grounded in developmental science. In new settings, we look for to get it our environment and discover our put in it—whether at a party or in a remote nation.

We feel versatile weight since of our on a very basic level social nature—a have to be interface with others and dodge distance. This prepares of alteration and adaption to new environment is normal of people everywhere—it is built into our developmental science as social primates. Learning a modern phonetic framework is basically one portion of this broader marvel. Both language and culture learning require such internalization of outside patterns—complex bodies of information must be coordinates into our minds and put to imaginative utilize. Language and culture are not fair data frameworks or sets of rules—they are epitomized at the most profound levels of intellect and self. Indeed when there's no clearly characterized social community, dialect learning itself is an adaptive handle. To create advance, learners must wrap their tongues around remote sounds and internalize foreign phonetic designs. They must take on better approaches of considering and learn to precise themselves in better approaches. This frequently includes managing with remote individuals and circumstances, pleasing social distinction, and developing modern shapes of self-expression. This versatile handle requires a profound shape of learning-taking in a complex body of information that's remote, and making it a normal portion of the self.

The List of used literatures:

1. Byrnes, Heidi, *Advanced Language Learning: The Contribution of Halliday and Vygotsky*, London, Continuum, 2006.
2. Friedrich, Paul, *Language, Ideology, and Political Economy in American Anthropologist*, 91, 2, 1989, pp. 295–312.
3. Hansen, Hans Lauge, *Disciplines and Interdisciplinarity in Foreign Language Studies*, Copenhagen, Museum Tusculanum Press, 2004.
4. Абдурахимова, Ф. 2023. Особенности понятия «судьба» в английских и узбекских пословицах. *Общество и инновации*. 4, 9/S (ноя. 2023), 1–6.
5. Abduraximova F. SOTSIOLOGIYASTIKA //Solution of social problems in management and economy. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 11. – С. 143-147.
6. <https://world-science.ru/en/article/view?id=33984>
7. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Languaculture>
8. <https://japanintercultural.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/Chaps-1-2-Language-Culture-and-the-Embodied-Mind-.pdf>
9. Холиков, Б. (2018). Детектив романларда воқеликнинг бадий талқинини тизимли моделлаштириш (Марио Пьюзонинг «Чўқинтирган ота» («The godfather») ва Тоҳир Маликнинг «Шайтанат» асарлари мисолида). Фалсафа доктори илмий даражасини олиш учун ёзилган докторлик (PhD) диссертацияси автореферати. Фалсафа доктори илмий даражасини олиш учун ёзилган докторлик (PhD) диссертацияси автореферати. Фал. фанл. докт.(PhD) дисс.
10. Khayrullaev, K. Z. (2001). The relation of word, word composition and sentence to the predicativeness. Abstract of candidate dissertation.—Tashkent.
11. Хайруллаев, Х. (2002). Предикативлик ҳодисаси ва унинг ифода объектлари.
12. Хайруллаев, Х. (2009). О лингвистической природе уровней языка и речи. Вопросы филологических наук, (2), 78-79.