

Research by Deterding et al. highlights that gamification transforms repetitive or difficult tasks into engaging challenges, making learning less stressful and more enjoyable. [2, 49] Additionally, Marti Parreno et al. surveyed teachers' attitudes toward and actual use of gamification. They found that, while many educators recognized its potential value, they often lacked the training, time, or technological support needed to implement it effectively. [4, 63] This highlights the need for professional development and institutional support systems to help teachers design and manage gamified learning experiences effectively. Gamification represents a promising approach to addressing many challenges facing contemporary education, from student engagement and motivation to the development of 21st-century skills.

The effectiveness of educational gamification depends on several key factors:

- Alignment with learning objectives and pedagogical frameworks,
- Balance between extrinsic and intrinsic motivational elements,
- Adaptation to specific educational contexts and student characteristics,
- Attention to potential equity concerns.

When these considerations are addressed, gamification offers educators powerful tools for creating more engaging, effective, and student-centered learning environments. A well-designed gamified approach can inspire students to develop persistence, discipline, and a lifelong love of learning.

References:

1. Kapp, K. M. (2012). *The Gamification of Learning and Instruction: Game-based Methods and Strategies for Training and Education*.
2. Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining “Gamification.”
3. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*.
4. Marti Parreno, J., Segui-Mas, D., & Segui-Mas, E. (2016). Teachers’ attitudes towards and actual use of gamification.
5. Тажиева, А., & Нурниязов, Н. (2024). Implementing cognitive approach into English language learning classes. Преимущества и проблемы использования достижений отечественной и мировой науки и технологии в сфере иноязычного образования, 1(1), 387–390. извлечено от <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/field-foreign-education/article/view/32883>

SEMASIOLOGY IN MODERN LINGUISTICS

Arzieva Hurliman
108 Group student
Karakalpak state University
Scientific adviser: Abdimuratova N.P.

Annotation: This thesis investigates semasiology, a significant branch of linguistic semantics that focuses on how words develop and convey meaning. It analyzes the interconnectedness between semasiology and such linguistic phenomena as pragmatics, polysemy, metaphor, and figurative language. The paper aims to highlight how meaning is shaped not only by linguistic form but also by context, cultural conventions, and speaker intention.

Keywords: Semasiology, meaning, polysemy, pragmatics, metaphor, figurative language.

Introduction: Semasiology is a branch of linguistics that studies the meaning of words and expressions from the standpoint of the word itself, as opposed to the concept or referent. It answers

the question: "What does this word mean?" rather than "How do we express this idea?" By starting with the word form and tracing its semantic development, semasiology serves as a cornerstone in the study of language, enabling researchers to understand how meaning evolves and diversifies. In modern linguistics, semasiology is closely linked with other areas of study such as pragmatics, which examines meaning in context, and polysemy, which reflects the multiplicity of meanings a single word can possess. Additionally, metaphor and other forms of figurative language play an essential role in meaning expansion and conceptual transformation. This thesis delves into how these aspects interact within the framework of semasiology to contribute to the dynamism of language [1, 72].

Interpretive Approaches to Word Meaning.

Semasiology is not merely concerned with dictionary definitions; it embraces the dynamic, context-dependent, and multi-layered nature of meaning. Words are not fixed entities—they shift and evolve through time, influenced by societal change, cognitive patterns, and communicative need. At its core, semasiology explores how these meanings emerge, extend, overlap, and in some cases, disappear. Unlike lexicography or etymology, which might focus on historical development or usage frequency, semasiology focuses on the relationship between signifier and meaning within a particular linguistic system.

One of the crucial dimensions of semasiology is its overlap with pragmatics. Pragmatics brings in the contextual, situational, and interactional aspects of language. It acknowledges that the meaning of an utterance can drastically change based on tone, intention, social relationship, or even timing. For example, the phrase "Can you open the window?" is literally a question about ability, but pragmatically, it functions as a polite request. Semasiology, when approached through the lens of pragmatics, considers not only the literal semantics of a word or phrase but also the inferred or implied meanings that arise in communication. This interaction shows that the meaning is not inherent in the word itself but co-constructed by speaker and listener in specific contexts [2, 30].

Another key feature within semasiological study is polysemy, where one lexical item has multiple related meanings. This phenomenon shows how language economizes its vocabulary while expanding expressive capability. For instance, the word "light" can refer to brightness, something not heavy, or even a pale color. Each of these meanings is related by underlying conceptual metaphors or shared cognitive frameworks. Polysemy presents a semantic challenge: determining which meaning is intended requires reliance on contextual clues. In this sense, semasiology becomes a bridge between cognitive linguistics and real-world communication.

Metaphor and figurative language add another layer of richness to semasiology. Metaphor allows us to understand abstract or unfamiliar domains through the lens of concrete experiences. For instance, when we say "time is money," we are not merely being poetic—we are using metaphor to structure how we think about time as a valuable, spendable resource. Semasiology investigates how such metaphors become so embedded in language that they influence everyday thinking and communication. Figurative expressions like idioms ("kick the bucket"), metonymy ("the crown" for monarchy), or personification ("the wind whispered") are essential for semantic evolution. Over time, many figurative expressions become conventionalized and are no longer perceived as metaphorical. Semasiology tracks these shifts, mapping how the figurative becomes literal and how abstract thought becomes linguistically tangible [3, 42].

Taken together, these elements demonstrate that semasiology is not a static or purely structural discipline. It interacts with psychology, sociology, philosophy, and culture to uncover how meaning functions in real communication. Modern linguistics no longer treats meaning as a by-product of form, but rather as a complex, dynamic construct influenced by a variety of internal and external factors. Through the integration of pragmatic context, polysemous structures, and metaphorical conceptualization, semasiology provides a robust framework for analyzing the living nature of language.

Expected Outcomes.

This research is expected to yield several insightful outcomes that contribute both to theoretical linguistics and practical language understanding. Firstly, the thesis aims to establish a comprehensive understanding of semasiology as a dynamic field that intersects with pragmatics, cognitive linguistics, and figurative language studies. Through a detailed analysis of polysemy and metaphorical expressions, the study will shed light on how meaning is not fixed but fluid, context-sensitive, and socially constructed.

The exploration of metaphor and figurative language is also anticipated to result in a clearer understanding of how abstract concepts are grounded in human experience through metaphorical thinking. The research will highlight how figurative language enriches communication, expands the expressive potential of words, and contributes to semantic development over time. Moreover, it will analyze the process by which figurative meanings become conventionalized and integrated into literal usage [4, 114].

This thesis also expects to draw connections between semasiological theory and real-world applications, particularly in areas such as language education, translation, intercultural communication, and artificial intelligence. For instance, understanding how polysemy and context influence meaning can significantly improve machine translation and natural language processing technologies. Similarly, in language teaching, this knowledge can aid in developing strategies to teach vocabulary more effectively by emphasizing meaning variation and contextual usage.

Conclusion:

Semasiology plays a pivotal role in understanding how language constructs and conveys meaning. It highlights the inherent complexity and fluidity of linguistic meaning, influenced by context, usage, and cultural interpretation. By examining related concepts such as pragmatics, polysemy, and metaphor, we gain a comprehensive understanding of how words function far beyond their literal definitions. Semasiology invites us to consider not only what words mean, but how they mean—and how that meaning is negotiated between speaker and listener in diverse communicative settings.

References:

1. **Lyons, J. (1977).** *Semantics* (Vol. 1). Cambridge University Press. This foundational text by Lyons offers an extensive exploration of semantics, providing theoretical insights into word meaning, polysemy, and the relationship between semantics and pragmatics.
2. **Grice, H. P. (1975).** *Logic and Conversation*. In *Speech Acts* (pp. 41-58). Springer. Grice's work on conversational implicature is essential for understanding pragmatics and how speakers communicate meanings indirectly, offering a framework for interpreting meaning in context.
3. **Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980).** *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press. Lakoff and Johnson's work is a seminal contribution to metaphor theory, showing how metaphors shape thought, language, and meaning, which is critical in semasiology and figurative language studies.
4. **Saussure, F. de (1916).** *Course in General Linguistics*. McGraw-Hill. A cornerstone in structuralist linguistics, Saussure's theory on the relationship between the signifier and the signified is key to understanding how meaning is constructed in semasiology.